STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 2-98)

See SAM Sections 6600 - 6680 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER				
Secretary of State	Theresa Aguilar Finger	(916) 651-9532				
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Standards Incorporated by Reference and the I	Definition of a Trusted System	NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z 2009-0626-01				
E	CONOMIC IMPACT STAT	EMENT				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS						
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	_					
	Impacts businesses and/or employees					
✓ b. Impacts small businesses		nposes prescriptive instead of performance standards				
☐ c. Impacts jobs or occupations		mpacts individuals				
d. Impacts California competitiveness		None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)				
h. (cont.) See details attached.						
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, con	mplete this Economic Impact Statement.)				
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:_	unknown Describe the types of bu	sinesses (Include nonprofits):				
See details attached.						
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	s impacted that are small businesses:					
Enter the number of businesses that will be created	d:elimina	ted:				
Explain: See details attached.						
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (lis	t areas):				
As referenced under Government Code 12	2168.7. See details attached.					
5. Enter the number of johs created. None or elim	inated. None Describe the types of	jobs or occupations impacted:				
o, and the transfer of jobs of Galou, or own.		Josephanne				
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California but	sinesses to compete with other states by	making it more costly to produce goods or services here?				
Yes No If yes, expla	ain briefly. Businesses already are aw	vare of international standards. The regulations are				
identifying one standard and one guideline b	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
identifying one standard and one gardenne t	sy name.					
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and as	ssumptions in the rulemaking record.)					
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busin	esses and individuals may incur to comp	oly with this regulation over its lifetime? \$				
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing costs:	\$ Years:				
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing costs:	\$ Years:				
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing costs:	\$ Years:				
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:	See details attached.					

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

2.	. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:					
	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.):					
4.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Yes No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$ and the number of units:					
	Are there comparable Federal regulations? Yes No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: The U.S. National Archives and Records Administration accepts PDF/A formatted files.					
E	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$					
C.	ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)					
1.	Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: See details attached.					
	Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requirements, or goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority? Explain: Government Code section 12168.7 requires adoption of standards.					
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$_Unknown_					
	ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not excifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)					
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: The Secretary of State has not					
id	entified any alternatives to the proposed regulations.					
2.	Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:					
	Regulation: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
	Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
	Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ Cost: \$					
3.	Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives:					
4.	Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or					
	equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs?					
- 1	Explain: No alternatives have been identified.					
	MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)					

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

1. Will	the estimated co	osts of this regulation t	o California busines	s enterprises e	xceed \$10 million ?	Yes No	(If No, skip the	rest of this section)
	·	h equally as effective a					analysis was perforr	ned:
Re Alt	the regulation, a egulation: ernative 1: ernative 2:	\$	st described, enter t		cost and overall co Cost-effectiveness Cost-effectiveness Cost-effectiveness	s ratio:		
			FISCA	AL IMPAC	T STATEMEN	T		
A. FIS	CAL EFFECT OF	N LOCAL GOVERNME			1 through 6 and attaci bsequent Fiscal Year		d assumptions of fis	cal impact for
1.		nditures of approximat	-					
	a. is provi	ided in (Item	,Bu	dget Act of) or (Char	oter	Statutes o	.f
□ ₂ .	Additional exper Section 6 of Art	requested in the	ely \$ rnia Constitution ar	in the cu	rrent State Fiscal Yea 000 et seq. of the Gov	ir which are not re emment Code be	eimbursable by the ecause this regulation	State pursuant to
	b. impleme	ents the court mandate	set forth by the					
	court is	n the case of			vs			
	c. impleme	ents a mandate of the	people of this State	expressed in the	neir approval of Propo	esition No	at the_	(DATE)
	d. is issue	ed only in response to a	specific request fro	om the				
							s/are the only local o	entity(s) affected;
	e. will be f	fully financed from the			(FEES, REVENUE, ETC.	.)		authorized by Section
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of the				Code;
□ 3.	Savings of appr	s for savings to each a	annually					
∐ 4.	No additional co	osts or savings becaus	e this regulation ma	ikes only techni	ical, non-substantive	or clarifying chan	ges to current law a	ind regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

5. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.		
2 6. Other.		
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculation the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	ons and assumptions of fiscal impact for	
1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anti-	icipated that State agencies will:	
a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.		
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year	ar.	
2. Savings of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.		
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.		
4. Other.		
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through of fiscal impact for the current year a	h 4 and attach calculations and assumptions and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)	
1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.		
2. Savings of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year.		
☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or prog	ıram.	
4. Other.		
SIGNATURE Junior	TITLE Chief Counsel	
AGENCY SECRETARY 1 APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE & James Junally	9/10/10	
PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE 2	DATE	
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE &		

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

^{2.} Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (STD. 399) (As updated August 10, 2010)

TITLE 2. ADMINISTRATION DIVISION 7. SECRETARY OF STATE CHAPTER 15. TRUSTWORTHY ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT OR RECORD PRESERVATION

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

In an effort to make it easier to follow standards recommended by Association of Information and Image Management (AIIM) or American National Standards Institute (ANSI), as already required under Government Code 12168.7, the proposed regulations will incorporate by reference, standards and guidelines recommended by AIIM or ANSI; and provide clarification of the term "trusted system" as used in Government Code section 12168.7.

The regulations will impact county government offices, including the Board of Supervisors, County Recorder, County Auditor, County Treasurer, and social service agencies; city government offices; special districts; and the State Registrar of Vital Statistics.

Entities that convert documents to electronic media and want to destroy the original and/or have digital-born documents that need to be stored to a trusted system must ensure their electronic system complies with the standards and guidelines set forth in these regulations.

The Secretary of State estimates that there will be no adverse private sector cost impacts from these regulations. The regulations are intended to adopt standards for the purpose of recording, storing, and reproducing permanent and nonpermanent records or documents in electronic media. The Secretary of State's intent is to adopt regulations that help organizations create and maintain authentic, reliable, and useable records, and to protect the integrity of those electronic records for as long as required. However, it is impossible to quantify the number of businesses that will benefit or the actual cost savings to business.

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Most electronic content management vendors support the technologies required in the regulations. It would be the responsibility of the agency to confirm with their vendor whether their system(s) meets the relevant adopted standards under Government Code section 12168.7. It should be noted that the vendors would be responsible for technical compliance with the appropriate standards, and the organization would be responsible for the procedural aspects of this compliance.

Secretary of State
Standards Incorporated by Reference & Definition of a Trusted System
Attachment to Form 399

Please note that many vendors are already members of either AIIM or ANSI standardsetting committees and do try to stay current.

The costs for the standards or guideline that are recommended in the regulations is shown below:

Standard	Description		Price		
ISO TR 15801:2004 (optional)	Electronic imaging - Information stored electronically - Recommendations for trustworthiness and reliability	\$	135.00		
ISO TS 12033:2001 (optional)	Electronic imaging - Guidance for selection of document image compression methods	\$	73.00		
ANSI/AIIM/CGATS/ISO 19005-1:2005 (incorporated by reference)	Document Management - Electronic File Format for Long-term) Preservation - Part 1: Use of PDF 1.4 (PDF/A-1)	\$	92.00		
AIIM ARP1-2009	Recommended Practice Analysis, Selection, and Implementation of Electronic Document Management Systems (EDMS)		Free		
	Cost Range Total	\$ 92.00	to 300.00		

However, based on preliminary meetings with stakeholders, due to the technical nature of these standards, many of their vendors may already have the standards. The stakeholders would then work with their vendors to ensure their electronic records meet these requirements. If this is the case, there would not be any costs to the entities referenced in Government Code section 12168.7 to purchase the standards.

Any costs to meet the conditions of the standards identified or a trusted system are not known.